



**ACME TOWNSHIP REGULAR BOARD MEETING
ACME TOWNSHIP HALL
6042 Acme Road, Williamsburg MI 49690
6:00 p.m. June 06, 2006**

Meeting called to Order with the Pledge of Allegiance at 6:00 p.m.

Members present: B. Boltres, D. Dunville, W. Kladder, B. Kurtz, P. Scott, E. Takayama, F. Zarafonitis
Members excused: None
Staff present: S. Corpe, Township Manager/Recording Secretary
J. Hull, Zoning Administrator
T. Henkel, Parks & Maintenance Supervisor
C. Bzdok, Legal Counsel
N. Birdsall, Legal Counsel

Motion by Kladder, support by Boltres to enter closed session to discuss Meijer Inc. v. Acme Township litigation filed in state and federal court because discussion in open session could have a detrimental impact on the financial interests of the township, closed session to include Zoning Administrator John Hull. Motion carried by unanimous roll call vote.

Public meeting recessed at 6:01 p.m.

Motion by Kladder, support by Takayama to resume public session at 7:01 p.m. Motion carried by unanimous roll call vote.

INQUIRY AS TO CONFLICTS OF INTEREST: None noted.

A. CONSENT CALENDAR:

Motion by Kladder, support by Takayama to approve the Consent Calendar as presented, including:

RECEIVE AND FILE:

1. **Treasurer's Report** through 04/30/06
2. **Clerk's Report** through 05/24/06
3. Draft unapproved minutes **05/22/06 Planning Commission**
4. Draft unapproved minutes **05/23/06 Shoreline Advisory Meeting**

ACTION:

5. Approve minutes from **05/09/06 regular** Township Board Meeting
6. Approve **Accounts Payable** of \$52,313.36 through 05/24/06 (recommend approval: Dunville)
7. Approve **tax collection contract with Traverse City Area Public Schools**
8. Approve tax collection contracts with Traverse Bay Area Intermediate School District
 - a. **Traverse City School District**
 - b. **Elk Rapids School District**
9. Approve sending **request for letters of support for New Urbanist Town Center** project to area municipalities
10. Approve proposed **amendment to township investment policy** (recommend approval: Boltres)
11. Approve expenditure estimated at \$12,500 to **replace 20-year old pumps at Acme #4 Sewer Station** (Arrowhead Estates)

Motion carried by unanimous roll call vote.

Kladder noted as a point of order, that Zarafonitis would have a conflict of interest regarding his application for SUP amendment for his business, and Zarafonitis concurred.

B. LIMITED PUBLIC COMMENT:

Gene Veliquette, Cherry Country Fruitworks operates a business in Acme Township that contributes substantially to the tax base. He is concerned about the new lawsuits posed by Meijer, Inc. He offered a brief story about Abraham Lincoln, the moral of which is that lawsuits are best avoided or settled. He feels that the Board has acted illegally towards Meijer, Inc., stating he felt they had “crossed the line” several times. He stated he has had over 30 people sign copies of a letter this afternoon asking the Board to resolve the situation, heal rifts in the community and respect the public will as demonstrated “in the last election.” The statement adds that litigation money could be better spent employing RTKL to assist the community in developing a New Urbanist town center. Signatories are concerned that pursuing the litigation brought by Meijer could cause financial detriment to the entire community. Letters indicate whether the signer is a resident, business owner, business stockholder or other affiliation to the township the individual might have. He referred to Bzdok as “cocky.”

Chuck Walter, 6584 Bates Road feels that there has been a lack of “strong communication,” which he defines as the ability to listen to others. He feels the current Board listens only to members of the Concerned Citizens of Acme Township (CCAT) and that if the township loses the lawsuits the Board members will be personally shamed. He stated that a recall is “very close to being in the works,” and that people shouldn’t feel it can’t happen to them, because it is happening in many local communities. He asked where funding to defend against the suits will come from.

Nels Veliquette, 311 Maple Street, Traverse City, thanked Kurtz for his diligence in seeking accountability regarding the Septage treatment plant “debacle.”

Paul Brink, 9617 Winter Road, stated that nobody likes litigation, and CCAT would like to see it come to an end. He feels that the Board has listened to both sides of the debate. Many people do not wish to see a 232,000 sq. ft. store in the community but the Board approved one while asking for design changes as a compromise. The vote on the proposed moratorium was very evenly split.

Lewis Griffith, 5181 Lautner Road disagreed with Mr. Brink. He stated that he is observing Boltres laughing and “enjoying himself.” Many people, including himself are “sick” of the way the Board is operating.

Bob Garvey, 6377 Deepwater Point Road, stated that it costs \$150 to file a lawsuit. He has read the suits that have been filed, finds them frivolous and a “fear-based tactic” many corporations use to scare communities. He thanked the Board for standing up to the situation.

Virginia Tegel, 4810 Bartlett Rd. thanked the Board for their “diligence.” He does not believe the vote about the moratorium was about Meijer. On Sunday she scanned the weekly advertising circulars, picking four products and comparing prices. The prices were identical for each of the various grocery stores, except one item that was less costly at Tom’s.

C. CORRESPONDENCE: None.

D. SPECIAL PRESENTATIONS:

1. Presentation of new tax maps and GIS framework data by Laurie Spencer,

County Equalization Director: Ms. Spencer is presenting the township's new tax maps this evening, and providing a presentation about the ways this data can be used as a valuable planning tool rather than just a static tax parcel map. Mapping is an expensive line item, and several years ago her department was asked to cut costs by 8%. Traditional costs have been shared 50/50 with townships. Tax maps are required by law. In 1995 the Department of the Interior estimated that digital map maintenance is 80% less costly than paper map maintenance. The first local township to employ digital mapping was Long Lake Township, and the savings became immediately evident. The entire tax map is in PDF format and can be accessed on every township computer. Custom maps can be created as needed, and the traditional paper maps will be available as well. Acme Township's maps were created thanks to a grant from the Army Corps of Engineers and a township match that permitted re-surveying using GPS equipment establishing accuracy within 3". In the new version, street addresses will be displayed on the maps linked to a point that is directly on the structure owning the address, making structure location easier. Digital information facilitates joint work with various departments and disciplines. County staff moved information to the new system, a departmental intern did the data entry, and Hull double-checked all of the data for accuracy, which Ms. Spencer characterized as "tedious and time-consuming."

New aerial photographs have been taken this spring to a similar level of accuracy through a first-ever low-flight approach. Using the GPS framework points established, current and future layers of data can be accurately overlain. Over 30 layers of data are currently available. Layers can be turned on and off as needed for custom projects. Several years' worth of aerial photographs are available, so land use change over time can be well-illustrated.

Traverse lines (a way for a surveyor to represent shoreline; in the real world people own land to the water's edge, and when traverse lines are used it can appear that public land exists between the lots and the water where it does not) were also better defined. Assessor's sketches can be made a layer in the GIS system. Developer site plans can be scanned, put into the framework, overlain with the orthophotographs and made 3D, and the effect of a proposed development in the real world can be better assessed before it ever is built. Proposed basement elevations can be projected by using topography layers. Finding parcels within a certain area or based on certain shared characteristics can be done very quickly.

Ms. Spencer thanked the township for its support of the project and feels it will be mutual beneficial.

Kladder asked if the public can have access to this information, and how. Ms. Spencer stated that the County has developed an application that is in use by over 400 employees, and once a firewall is established it can be made available to the general public. He asked about how township staff can make use of it; Corpe responded that we have already been making use of older data layers. The township owns a copy of ArcView 3.1, and she has asked for funding to purchase 3-4 copies of ArcView 9.1 so that the full capabilities of the new data can be utilized and so that she and Hull can obtain assistance from Equalization staff more easily for both parties.

2. **Annual Road Commission Report – Mary Lajko:**
 - a. **Feedback on whether County Road Commission should permit TODs on county roads:** TODs were introduced in 1999, and are the blue signs pointing the way and distance to points of interest. Right now the County Road Commission doesn't allow the smaller signs on County Roads that help

guide the way from the trunklines to the final destination. Several points of interest have asked that this be reconsidered. Originally many townships didn't want the additional sign "clutter;" now some feel differently. The intermediate signs would be about 2' x 2' and are purchased largely by tourist-oriented business. They are maintained by the state TOD program. Using Mt. Holiday as an example, there are signs on US 31 to direct people to turn on Holiday Road. If they were not directly on Holiday Road, perhaps an additional "trailblazer" sign would be helpful if permitted. There are only about 178 trailblazer signs in the state. Kurtz asked if putting up this type of sign could be revenue generator for the County; this has not been examined.

Kurtz suggested that the Infrastructure Advisory might like to look at this issue. Ms. Lajko would appreciate the ability to convey a response to the County by June 21.

Turning to the general Road Commission report, road painting of centerlines will begin on county roads during the next several weeks. Edge lines will be added later to main roads, and would be added to local roads as the budget permits. Road brining is also currently underway, as is regular gravel road maintenance. Pothole crews are out patching 10 hours a day; they know the roads are in rough shape and they are working as fast as they can. Three Mile Road is under construction as of the beginning of May, and is progressing. Relocation of utilities has been a "nightmare" and is about a week behind schedule. The goal is to reopen the road by Labor Day. The traffic signal at that intersection is still going through its full normal cycle because traffic volumes on US 31 are so high that pedestrians and turning cars trying to reach them from the west side of the road have no safe openings. The cycle has been changed to a 20 second interval. Zarafonitis asked if it could be programmed for a longer cycle during peak work-related rush-hours; Ms. Lajko said she would work with MDOT on this, as they have primary jurisdiction over the light. The light now starts flashing at 8:00 p.m. instead of midnight or 1:00 a.m. as is customary during peak tourist times.

Left turn phasing is being added to the Garfield & Hammond intersection, and the turn signal at Four Mile and Hammond will be revised to allow left turns onto Four Mile whenever the light is green for Hammond; right now left turns are only permitted during a protected green arrow phase.

Kay Ray and Yuba Park Roads have been separated. Work on the outskirts of the US 31/M-72 intersection will begin this fall; a complicated and expensive culvert replacement must be done. There will be dual left-turn lanes moving from M-72 southbound on US 31, and there will be a right-turn only-lane added to northbound US 31 turning on to M-72. Separate left-turn phasing will not be added at this time, as the traffic models indicate that the new lanes will make sufficient gaps in the traffic.

Kladder asked if any roads in Acme Township will be chipped and tarred this year; Ms. Lajko stated not. This year's focus will be in Long Lake, and Acme and Whitewater were the focus within the past several years. Corpe stated a recollection that when it was our turn a year or two ago there was an emergency in another part of the County and the scheduled work in Acme was never completed. Ms. Lajko will check and report back to Corpe.

Kurtz expressed appreciation for the hard work Ms. Lajko did to work with

the township and Meijer, Inc. on their development application. He mentioned that Holiday Road is in very bad shape and that many of the subdivision roads need substantial work. Ms. Lajko stated that some sections of Holiday Road will be receiving a 1” course of asphalt where de-lamination has occurred. The Road Commission realizes that a Holiday Road project is needed, but it hasn’t been firmly scheduled yet. Because this is classified as a local road, the Commission can only cover 50% of major reworking expenses. Partners are needed for the rest of the cost.

Mr. Walter stated that prior to the current administration there were numerous discussions with East Bay Township regarding potential cost sharing with the Road Commission. Mark Ritter expressed at the time that he did not want to participate in the cost because he felt the need for major repairs came about because of inadequate ongoing maintenance by the Road Commission.

E. PUBLIC HEARINGS:

1. **Proposed 2006-07 Acme Township Budget - potential adoption:** There was preliminary discussion regarding the proposed budget at the May Board meeting. Each department head recommended their budget without preliminary negotiations. The budget was balanced this year providing a surplus, the final extent of which will be known shortly after year-end.

Public Hearing opened at 8:03 p.m.

Mr. Walter asked if there is funding in the budget to get the radar trailer out on the road. Kurtz stated that this is currently under discussion. Deputy Bob Sillers is charging the battery right now and expects to have it on the road on Thursday.

Public Hearing closed at 8:04 p.m.

Kurtz noted that he is the Chief Financial Officer for the township, and that Corpe was heavily involved in budget preparation. Board members have had the opportunity to raise questions throughout the process.

Kladder commended Corpe for her immediate and lengthy responses to the questions he has sent. He also commended the Treasurer because our funds are earning more investment income than they have in a long time.

Zarafonitis directed a question to Henkel, who increased his overtime request from 150 hours to 250 hours. He asked if Henkel thought there might be room to bring on a part-time individual at approximately \$15/hour. Instead of 250 hours we could obtain over 600 hours for the same expense. Henkel put in that much overtime based being able to do all of the improvement projects he requested. Throughout most of his 18-year career his job was not a “standard 40-hour” work week. He works nights, weekends and other times as needed to get the outdoor jobs done. Zarafonitis noted that Henkel currently has no backup and recently worked sick for several weeks; Henkel agreed that it might have been helpful in this instance. Kurtz observed that in the coming fiscal year Henkel’s salary has been divided between the general fund and the Fire Fund, as a portion of Henkel’s job responsibilities is dedicated to fire hall maintenance and on-call emergency service. The change will help better categorize expenses for tracking, particularly in an environment when Metro Fire is becoming more aggressive in its programming and budgeting.

Takayama felt that the Parks & Maintenance job should be perhaps salaried. Tom has done a hard job well for a long time. He doesn't understand why the job was taken from salaried to hourly several years ago. Corpe noted that it is not necessarily true that "salaried" equals "exempt from overtime", referring to an employment law poster in the breakroom and noting that Bzdok is researching how the principles apply in the current situation. The poster suggests that to be exempt from overtime one must supervise at least two employees and perform little or no manual labor.

Zarafonitis sees a long-term benefit in having a part-time employee that can benefit from the knowledge that Henkel has built up over the years and serve as backup for him when he is on vacation or otherwise unable to work. Kurtz suggested that the Board approve a non-overtime salary for Henkel at this time and ask Corpe to report back at the next meeting about options for additional part-time assistance.

Scott questioned Kurtz's request to reduce the Supervisor's salary for a second time, suggesting that perhaps it would make sense to see what other local Supervisors are earning. Kurtz recalls that in 1992 when other local Supervisors were earning approximately \$21,000, Mark Ritter was earning \$28,000. The township is a general law township and is one of very few that now has a full-time manager. He asked the Board to consider accepting his recommendation, noting that there are still two years before the next regularly scheduled Board elections and time to consider whether the salary has been set too low to attract qualified applicants. Scott asked if Corpe has taken on enough responsibility, or is approaching having a very full plate. Kurtz noted that Corpe has been with the township beginning part-time in 1996 and full-time in 2001, and he found coming into office that she had a large hand in maintaining day-to-day operations. He feels her experience and presence is valuable. Zarafonitis noted that Corpe's overall compensation for the coming year would be similar to that she received for both the manager and (becoming defunct) recording secretary positions in 2005, so since there is no budget increase there why not leave the Supervisor's salary intact? Kurtz responded that at the worst, with his additional reduction the township would be increasing its surplus by a few thousand additional dollars.

Kurtz mentioned the Land Use and Transportation Study (LUTS) group operating in the County, which may expand to other counties also. The group has some federal funding and will be seeking matching funds from the townships.

Motion by Kladder, support by Zarafonitis to adopt Resolution #R-2006-10, Acme Township General Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 2006-07 as amended to provide for a base salary for Henkel without overtime. Motion carried by unanimous roll call vote.

F. **OLD BUSINESS:** None.

G. **NEW BUSINESS:**

1. Consider **minor amendment to SUP #96-2P, Bayview Inn/Frank Zarafonitis** for a 20' x 24' extension of the existing outdoor dining deck: The request for an extension of the existing deck was detailed in Hull's staff report. He would have normally considered such a request as an insignificant change, but felt that because Zarafonitis is a Trustee it would be advisable to treat it as a minor change with a public process.

Motion by Kladder, support by Takayama to approve SUP #2006-8P as presented. Motion carried by a vote of 6 in favor (Boltres, Dunville, Kladder,

Kurtz, Scott, Takayama), 0 opposed and 1 abstaining (Zarafonitis).

2. **Consider request from Sandy & Jeff Pownall/The Cottages at Windward Ridge to purchase sewer benefits prior to construction of sanitary sewer system to and within the development subject to a letter of credit equal to 125% of estimated system construction costs:** Kurtz noted that a meeting was held between himself Corpe, Sandy Pownall, her builder Ted Kunnath and Katie Zopf at Dingeman, Dancer & Christopherson in mid-May. Ms. Pownall would like to construct sanitary sewer infrastructure and the first few housing units concurrently while the weather is good. They can't obtain their Construction Code building permits until they purchase sewer benefits, which the DPW generally doesn't grant unless the sewer system is completed and turned over. DPW would grant the benefits if the township asks them too and understands it will be committing to ensuring that the improvements are completed, at township expense if necessary. At the meeting the participants agreed to recommend to the Board that it accept a letter of credit for 125% of the anticipated sewer construction and construction oversight costs.

Since the meeting there has been e-mail discussion between Corpe and Zopf regarding the potential advisability of also requesting a personal guarantee from Sandy Pownall and her husband. This would protect the township in case the letter of credit were revoked by the bank, providing an instrument that would be enforceable in Circuit Court in the very unlikely event that Ms. Pownall does not complete the system. Ms. Pownall became aware of this discussion only earlier this evening, and is opposed to adding a personal guarantee to the letter of credit. Boltres asked why, particularly since such a guarantee would likely have been already given to the bank; Ms. Pownall replied that she objects on principal to being asked so late in the proceedings for yet another layer of assurances. Kurtz appreciates the need to fully protect the township from even the unlikely eventuality of failure to perform, but also expressed discomfort with the fact that the possibility of the additional requirement was not discussed at the mid-May meeting.

Ms. Pownall perceives the issue as being a fear that the project is not completed because of unforeseen difficulties. She has provided a personal guarantee to Huntington Bank, which would be providing the letter of credit. If she has already signed on a letter of credit to cover this eventuality, isn't the township already covered? If things go that wrong, what is the likelihood that her personal guarantee will have value at that point in time? She feels the Board has worked with her and made a special effort to help her in a difficult situation, and this request should have been made earlier if it were to be made at all. She is invested in the project and not about to let it fail.

Kladder asked Kurtz to reiterate his position on why the personal guarantee shouldn't be necessary. Kurtz stated that Christopherson's office has worked well with the township on sewer-related issues, but this aspect of the situation was not discussed at the earlier meeting in mid-May. Kladder agrees with Kurtz that a letter of credit is in order. He believes that the township needs to encourage good projects and also to protect the township. Ms. Pownall asked about protection for the taxpayer/landowner as well.

Kurtz suggested that the Board authorize Kurtz, Boltres, Corpe and the attorney to work together to come to final resolution.

Motion by Kladder, support by Scott to have Kurtz, Boltres and another Board member chosen by them to work with Ms. Pownall, Corpe and Christopherson's

office to reach a mutually agreeable conclusion.

Takayama stated that there are some extreme circumstances under which a letter of credit can be cancelled; however, if this were to occur the personal guarantee wouldn't be worth much anyway. He believes the additional guarantee might be overkill.

Motion carried by a vote of 5 in favor (Dunville, Kladder, Kurtz, Scott, Zarafonitis) and 2 opposed (Boltress, Takayama).

3. **Consider adoption of Resolution Confirming Participation in Grand Traverse County Farmland and Open Space Preservation Purchase of Development Rights Program**: Brian Bourdages, Farmland Protection Specialist gave a brief report. If there is a county-level farmland preservation Board, the state grant funding program requires that townships seeking grant funding pass a resolution stating that they are working with that board. The township's ordinance already says that we are working with the County Board, but this extra step is required by the state program.

Motion by Kladder, support by Zarafonitis to adopt Resolution #R-2006-11 confirming participation in the Grand Traverse County Farmland and Open Space Preservation Purchase of Development Rights Program. Motion carried by unanimous roll call vote.

4. **Consider adoption of Resolution Establishing Purchase of Farmland Development Rights Matching Funds Available for the Township Fiscal Year 2006-07**: Mr. Bourdages reported that the township ordinance requires the Board to pass a resolution annually determining how much funding will be available for matching funds for development rights purchases. The Farmland Preservation Advisory has recommended that a year and a half worth of millage revenues be available every year, leaving some in the fund balance for following years. Takayama asked what would happen if a really good piece of property comes along but more than the allocated amount is required. Mr. Bourdages is unaware of anything in the ordinance that prevents and amendment to the allocation, but an allocation must be at least established annually. Corpe noted that the township's allocation is intended for a match, with the goal that the township would provide 50% of a total purchase price which would be matched dollar for dollar elsewhere.

Motion by Zarafonitis, support by Boltres to adopt Resolution #R-2006-12 establishing Purchase of Farmland Development Rights funds available for the township fiscal year 2006-07 as presented. Motion carried by unanimous roll call vote.

5. **Consider offering Aflac employee benefits package**: Kurtz reported that at the monthly staff meeting, township resident Linda Wikle gave a presentation about Aflac cafeteria programs that could be provided at no cost to the township for employee participation on a voluntary basis. Ms. Wikle lives on Deepwater Point, and has provided information about flex plans and unreimbursed medical expense plans. She stated she can set up a cafeteria plan that allows township employees to purchase products with pre-tax dollars through payroll deduction. If the township administers the plan itself there is no fee at all; if Aflac manages the plan there is a minimal charge that she would seek to have waived or she would volunteer as a township resident to absorb herself. The plans would not replace the existing Blue Cross plan but would cover things like deductibles. There would be modest savings

to the township; since the premiums are pre-tax the township does not have to pay matching FICA expenses on those dollars. Township employees did express interest in participating at the meeting held yesterday. Employees can also make their health-insurance premium copayment on a pre-tax basis through the plan as well.

Ms. Wikle asked if the township would permit willing employees to participate if they desire through pre-tax payroll deduction, and would the township be willing to permit employees to participate in an unreimbursed medical expense plan, and with what limit. Scenario: an employee elects to put away \$1,000/year in the plan, some out of each paycheck. They spend the entire \$1,000 early in the year. Will the township allow them to be fully reimbursed when not all of the funds have been set aside yet? Aflac bills in arrears. The plans are portable if employees leave the townships.

Takayama asked about the extra bookkeeping required. Ms. Wikle stated that after initial setup and annual maintenance of payroll deduction line items, it should be very minimal.

Boltres feels that the current township healthcare plans is adequate, and that we don't need the additional administrative work.

Motion by Dunville, support by Kladder to participate in the AFLAC benefit program with a \$1,000 cap on unreimbursed medical expenses. Motion carried by a vote of 6 in favor (Dunville, Kladder, Kurtz, Scott, Takayama, Zarafonitis), 0 opposed and 1 abstaining (Boltres.)

6. Consider Supervisor's appointment of Louann Brohl to Zoning Board of Appeals (expired term – Pat Collins, not seeking re-appointment): Kurtz is recommending Ms. Brohl to fill the seat on the ZBA being vacated by Mr. Collins. Her resume was provided for the Board's information. She was one of two candidates who applied for a Planning Commission opening and was recently interviewed.

Motion by Dunville, support by Takayama to confirm Louann Brohl in the 3-year term of office on the Zoning Board of Appeals vacated by Patrick Collins. Motion carried by unanimous roll call vote.

H. REPORTS

1. County Commissioner's Report – Larry Inman: received and filed.

I. PUBLIC COMMENT & OTHER BUSINESS THAT MAY COME BEFORE THE BOARD:

Mr. Gene Veliquette offered apologies to Mr. Bzdok, who told him outside that he had never said he never lost a lawsuit as Mr. Veliquette alleged. He stated that another speaker characterized the Meijer lawsuits as "frivolous," but he does not believe this is the case. His company has a bee operation. Bees have to be wrangled and transported at night. Orchards need to be sprayed and protected from frost at night. The cherry harvest operates 24 hours per day. Not being able to operate 24 hours per day would be detrimental to his business in many ways. His company is investing \$3 million dollars in installation of a new fruit drying machine, and it never would have occurred to him that he would have to ask permission to operate 24 hours per day. Their bed and breakfast serves people 24 hours per day. He does not understand how anyone could deem it "frivolous" to tell a business that normally operates 24 hours per day that it may not. Another speaker characterized some people as "cheerleaders" for Meijer. Mr. Veliquette would not characterize himself in this fashion. He has worked hard to do well in a low margin, labor intensive business. He believes Meijer to

be similar. To characterize the desire to operate constantly as “frivolous” is not good to him, and he believes the restriction to be illegal.

Paul Rundhaug, Bunker Hill Road asked if there is a meeting about the Septage treatment plant this week. Kurtz replied that there will be a study session regarding the situation tomorrow at the LaFranier Road County facility. Kurtz has been unhappy with the process and what has occurred. Today he asked for a meeting with the County Administrator and City Manager which he felt was productive. Tomorrow’s discussion will center on how to cover the debt service for the bonds issued to fund plant construction. His current position is that five townships represented on the Water and Sewer Board should not recommend to the Board of Public Works how this should occur. The issue belongs to the entire county.

Meeting adjourned at 9:34 p.m.